

By hypothesis  $f_n$  exists for each point of  $(a, b)$  (1)

$\Rightarrow f_n'(c)$  exists

$$\Rightarrow \text{ii } f_n'(c) = \lim_{x \rightarrow c} \frac{f_n(x) - f_n(c)}{x - c} \text{ exists}$$

$$\Rightarrow f_n'(c) = \lim_{x \rightarrow c} f_n'(x) \text{ by (1)}$$

$$\text{ii) } f_n(c) = \lim_{x \rightarrow c} f_n(x) \quad \therefore f_n'(c) = f_n'(c)$$

$$\Rightarrow \lim_{x \rightarrow c} f_n(x) = f_n(c)$$

$\therefore f_n$  is continuous at  $c$  for each  $n$ .

ii) each  $f_n$  is continuous at  $c$

$$\text{Let } g(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x) \quad \forall x \in (a, b)$$

Then  $\{f_n\}$  converges uniformly to  $g$  on  $(a, b)$ . Since each  $f_n$  is continuous at  $c$  and since  $f_n \rightarrow g$  uniformly on  $(a, b)$  the limit function  $g$  is also continuous at  $c$  (by thm 9.2)

$$\Rightarrow \lim_{x \rightarrow c} g(x) = g(c) \quad \longrightarrow \textcircled{9}$$

But for  $x \neq c$  we have

$$g(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow g(x) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f_n(x) - f_n(c)}{x - c} \\ &= \frac{\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x) - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(c)}{x - c} \end{aligned}$$

$$g(x) = \frac{f(x) - f(c)}{x - c} \quad \longrightarrow \textcircled{10}$$

Now  $\textcircled{10}$  implies that,

$$f'(c) = \lim_{x \rightarrow c} \frac{f(x) - f(c)}{x - c} = \lim_{x \rightarrow c} g(x)$$

$f'(c)$  exists and equals  $g(c)$  i.e.  $f'(c) = g(c)$

$$\text{But } g(c) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(c) \quad \longrightarrow \textcircled{11}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{But } g(c) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g_n(c) \\
 &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n'(c) \quad [ \text{by (1)} ] \\
 &= g(c) \quad [ f_n' \rightarrow g \text{ uniformly on } (a,b) ] \\
 \therefore g(c) &= g(c)
 \end{aligned}$$

From (2)

$$f'(c) = g(c)$$

Since  $c$  is an arbitrary point of  $(a,b)$ ,

$$f'(x) = g(x) \quad \forall x \in (a,b)$$

Theorem: 9.14

Assume that the each  $f_n$  is a real valued function defined on  $(a,b)$  such that the derivative  $f_n'(x)$  exists for each  $x$  in  $(a,b)$ . Assume that, for at least one point  $x_0$  in  $(a,b)$  the series  $\sum f_n(x_0)$  converges. Assume further that there exists a function  $g$  such that

$$\sum f_n'(x) = g(x) \quad [ \text{uniformly on } (a,b) ]$$

then a) there exists a function  $f$  such that

$$\sum f_n(x) = f(x) \quad [ \text{uniformly on } (a,b) ]$$

b) If  $x \in (a,b)$ , the derivative  $f'(x)$  exists and equals  $\sum f_n'(x)$

Proof:

$$\text{Let } s_n(x) = f_1(x) + f_2(x) + \dots + f_n(x) \quad \forall n$$

Since  $f_n'(x)$  exists for each  $x \in (a,b)$

$s_n'(x)$  exists for each  $x \in (a,b)$  and  $\rightarrow \text{D}$

$$s_n'(x) = f_1'(x) + f_2'(x) + \dots + f_n'(x)$$

Since there exists a function  $g$  such that

$$\sum f_n'(x) = g(x) \quad \text{uniformly on } (a,b)$$

$\sum a_n \rightarrow g$  uniformly on  $(a, b) \rightarrow \textcircled{1}$

Since it is given that for atleast one point  $x_0 \in (a, b)$  the series  $\sum b_n(x_0)$  converges.

$\therefore$  The Sequence of partial sums  $\{s_n(x_0)\}$  converges

Here all the conditions of theorem 9.13  $\rightarrow \textcircled{2}$  are satisfied, (from equations (1), (2), and (3))

Therefore (i) There exists a function  $f$  such that the Sequence of partial sum  $s_n \rightarrow f$  uniformly on  $(a, b)$

$\Rightarrow \sum b_n(x) = f(x)$  uniformly on  $(a, b)$  and (ii) for each  $x \in (a, b)$  the derivative  $f'(x)$  exists  $f'(x) = g(x)$

$\Rightarrow f'(x) = \sum b_n'(x)$   $[g(x) = \sum b_n'(x)]$

Hence the proof.

### Sufficient Conditions for Uniform Convergence of a Series

Theorem: 9.15 Dirichlet's Test for Uniform Convergence:

Statement:

Let  $F_n(x)$  denote the  $n^{\text{th}}$  partial sum of the Series  $\sum b_n(x)$  where each  $b_n$  is a Complex Valued function defined on a Set  $S$ .

Assume that  $\{F_n\}$  is uniformly bounded on  $S$ .

Let  $\{g_n\}$  be a sequence of real valued functions such that  $g_{n+1}(x) \leq g_n(x)$  for each  $x$  in  $S$  and for every  $n=1, 2, \dots$  and assume that  $g_n \rightarrow 0$  uniformly on  $S$ .

Then the Series  $\sum b_n(x) g_n(x)$  converges uniformly on  $S$ .



Proof: Given:

(i)  $F_n(x)$  denotes the  $n^{\text{th}}$  partial sum of the series  $\sum b_n(x)$ , where each  $b_n$  is a complex valued function defined on a set  $S$ .

$$(i) F_n(x) = \sum_{k=1}^n b_k(x) \longrightarrow \textcircled{1}$$

(ii)  $\{F_n\}$  is uniformly bounded on  $S$ . Then there exists a constant  $M > 0$  such that,

$$|F_n(x)| \leq M \quad \forall x \in S \text{ and } \forall n. \longrightarrow \textcircled{2}$$

(iii)  $\{g_n\}$  is a sequence of real-valued functions such that

$$g_{n+1}(x) \leq g_n(x) \quad \text{for each } x \in S \text{ and } \forall n \geq 1, 2, \dots \longrightarrow \textcircled{3}$$

(iv)  $g_n \rightarrow 0$  uniformly on  $S$ .

Then for every  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $N$  such that

$$|g_n(x) - 0| < \epsilon/2M \quad \text{for every } x \in S \text{ and } n > N$$

$$|g_n(x)| < \epsilon/2M \quad \forall x \in S \text{ and } n > N \longrightarrow \textcircled{4}$$

T.P.T: The series  $\sum b_n(x) g_n(x)$  converges uniformly on  $S$ .

$$\text{Let } S_n(x) = \sum_{k=1}^n b_k(x) g_k(x)$$

T.P.T:  $\sum b_n(x) g_n(x)$  converges.

We have T.P.T  $\{S_n\}$  satisfies Cauchy condition for uniform convergence.

(e) T.P.T for every  $\epsilon > 0$   $\exists$  an integer  $N$  s.t.

$$|S_n(x) - S_m(x)| < \epsilon \quad \forall n > N, m > N \text{ and } \forall x \in S$$

By partial summation we have,

$$S_n(x) = \sum_{k=1}^n b_k(x) g_k(x)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{k=1}^n [f_k(x) - f_{k-1}(x)] g_k(x) \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^n f_k(x) g_k(x) - \sum_{k=1}^n f_{k-1}(x) g_k(x) \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^n f_k(x) g_k(x) - \sum_{k=2}^n f_{k-1}(x) g_k(x) \quad (\text{By taking } f_0(x) = 0) \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^n f_k(x) g_k(x) - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} f_k(x) g_{k+1}(x) \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^n f_k(x) g_k(x) - \sum_{k=1}^n f_k(x) g_{k+1}(x) + f_n(x) g_{n+1}(x) \quad \text{--- } \textcircled{6}
\end{aligned}$$

11) By

$$\omega_m(x) = \sum_{k=1}^n f_k(x) [g_k(x) - g_{k+1}(x)] + f_m(x) g_{m+1}(x)$$

If  $n > m$  we have,

$$\begin{aligned}
\omega_n(x) - \omega_m(x) &= \sum_{k=1}^n f_k(x) [g_k(x) - g_{k+1}(x)] \\
&\quad + f_n(x) g_{n+1}(x) - \sum_{k=1}^m f_k(x) [g_k(x) - g_{k+1}(x)] \\
&\quad - f_m(x) g_{m+1}(x) \\
\Rightarrow \omega_n(x) - \omega_m(x) &= \sum_{k=m+1}^n f_k(x) [g_k(x) - g_{k+1}(x)] \\
&\quad + f_n(x) g_{n+1}(x) - f_m(x) g_{m+1}(x)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
|\omega_n(x) - \omega_m(x)| &\leq \sum_{k=m+1}^n |f_k(x)| |g_k(x) - g_{k+1}(x)| \\
&\quad + |f_n(x)| |g_{n+1}(x)| + |f_m(x)| |g_{m+1}(x)| \\
&= \sum_{k=m+1}^n |f_k(x)| [g_k(x) - g_{k+1}(x)] + |f_n(x)| \\
&\quad [g_{n+1}(x)] + |f_m(x)| [g_{m+1}(x)] \\
&\leq \sum_{k=m+1}^n M (g_k(x) - g_{k+1}(x)) + M (g_{n+1}(x)) \\
&\quad + M (g_{m+1}(x)) \\
&= M \{ g_{m+1}(x) - g_{m+2}(x) + g_{m+2}(x) - g_{m+3}(x) \\
&\quad + \dots + g_n(x) - g_{n+1}(x) \} + M g_{n+1}(x) + M g_{m+1}(x) \\
&= M \{ g_{m+1}(x) - g_{n+1}(x) \} + M g_{n+1}(x) \\
&\quad + M g_{m+1}(x)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\leq 2M g_{m+1}(x)$$

$$\Rightarrow |w_n(x) - w_m(x)| \leq 2M g_{m+1}(x) \quad \text{--- (6)}$$

$$\textcircled{D} \Rightarrow |g_n(x)| < \frac{\epsilon}{2M}, \quad n > N \text{ and } \forall x \in S$$

$$\text{But } g_n(x) \geq 0$$

$$g_n(x) < \frac{\epsilon}{2M}, \quad n > N \text{ and } \forall x \in S$$

Using in (6) we have,

$$|w_n(x) - w_m(x)| < 2M \cdot \frac{\epsilon}{2M}$$

Thus for every  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists an  $N$  such that

$$|w_n(x) - w_m(x)| < \epsilon, \quad \forall n > N, m > N \text{ and } \forall x \in S$$

$\{w_n\}$  satisfies Cauchy condition for uniform

Convergence of sequences of function

$\{w_n\}$  converges uniformly on  $S$

The corresponding series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n(x) g_n(x)$  converges uniformly on  $S$ .

**Abel's Test for Uniform Convergence:**

Let  $\{g_n\}$  be a sequence of real valued function such that  $g_{n+1}(x) \leq g_n(x)$  for each  $x$  in  $S$  and for every  $n=1, 2, \dots$ . If  $\{g_n\}$  is uniformly bounded on  $S$  and if  $\sum b_n(x)$  converges uniformly on  $S$ , then  $\sum b_n(x) g_n(x)$  also converges uniformly on  $S$ .

(OR)

$\sum b_n(x) g_n(x)$  converges uniformly on  $S$  if

(i)  $\sum b_n(x)$  converges uniformly on  $S$

(ii)  $\{g_n(x)\}$  is a decreasing sequence which is uniformly bounded on  $S$ .



proof:

Given: (i)  $\sum b_n(x)$  converges uniformly on  $S$  if

Then by Cauchy condition for uniform convergence of series we have,

for any given  $\epsilon > 0$ , there is an  $N$  such that,

$$\left| \sum_{k=n+1}^{n+p} b_k(x) \right| < \frac{\epsilon}{3M} \quad \text{for each } p=1,2,\dots \text{ and } n > N$$

and for every  $x \in S$

$\hookrightarrow \textcircled{1}$

$$\text{Let } pR_n(x) = b_{n+1}(x) + b_{n+2}(x) + \dots + b_{n+p}(x)$$

$$\text{Then } \textcircled{1} \Rightarrow \text{when } p=1, |{}_1R_n(x)| < \frac{\epsilon}{3M} \quad \forall x \in S \quad \forall n > N$$

$$\text{when } p=2, |{}_2R_n(x)| < \frac{\epsilon}{3M} \quad \forall x \in S \quad \forall n > N$$

In general for  $n > N$  we have,

$$|{}_pR_n(x)| < \frac{\epsilon}{3M} \quad \forall x \in S \quad \forall p=1,2,\dots$$

$\hookrightarrow \textcircled{2}$

Given: (ii)  $\{g_n(x)\}$  is a decreasing sequence on  $S$

then  $g_{n+1}(x) \leq g_n(x) \quad \forall n$  and  $\forall x \in S$

$\hookrightarrow \textcircled{3}$

Given: (iii)  $\{g_n(x)\}$  is uniformly bounded on  $S$

then there exists a constant  $M > 0$  such that

$$|g_n(x)| \leq M \quad \forall x \in S \text{ and } \forall n,$$

$\hookrightarrow \textcircled{4}$

T.P.T  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n(x) g_n(x)$  converges uniformly on  $S$

we have T.P.T

for any given  $\epsilon > 0$  there is an integer  $N$  such

$$\text{that } \left| \sum_{k=n+1}^{n+p} b_k(x) g_k(x) \right| < \epsilon, \quad \forall n > N, p=1,2,\dots$$

$\forall x \in S$

Consider,

$$\sum_{k=n+1}^{n+p} b_k(x) g_k(x) = b_{n+1}(x) g_{n+1}(x) + b_{n+2}(x) g_{n+2}(x) + \dots + b_{n+p-1}(x) g_{n+p-1}(x) + b_{n+p}(x) g_{n+p}(x)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & -f_n(x)g_{n+1}(x) + (f_n(x) - f_{n+1}(x))g_{n+2}(x) + \dots + f_{n+p}(x)g_{n+p+1}(x) \\
 & (p-1) \left( f_n(x) - f_{n+1}(x) \right) g_{n+p-1}(x) + \left( f_n(x) - f_{n+1}(x) \right) g_{n+p}(x) \\
 & = f_n(x) \left( g_{n+1}(x) - g_{n+2}(x) \right) + f_{n+1}(x) \left( g_{n+2}(x) - g_{n+3}(x) \right) \\
 & + f_{n+2}(x) \left( g_{n+3}(x) - g_{n+4}(x) \right) + \dots + f_{n+p}(x) g_{n+p+1}(x)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \left| \sum_{k=n+1}^{n+p} f_k(x) g_k(x) \right|$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \leq \left| f_n(x) \right| \left| g_{n+1}(x) - g_{n+2}(x) \right| + \left| f_{n+1}(x) \right| \left| g_{n+2}(x) - g_{n+3}(x) \right| \\
 & + \dots + \left| f_{n+p}(x) \right| \left| g_{n+p-1}(x) - g_{n+p}(x) \right| + \left| f_{n+p}(x) \right| \left| g_{n+p}(x) \right|
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq \frac{\epsilon}{3M} \left| g_{n+1}(x) - g_{n+2}(x) \right| + \frac{\epsilon}{3M} \left| g_{n+2}(x) - g_{n+3}(x) \right| + \dots +$$

$$\frac{\epsilon}{3M} \left| g_{n+p-1}(x) - g_{n+p}(x) \right| + \frac{\epsilon}{3M} \left| g_{n+p}(x) \right|$$

$$= \frac{\epsilon}{3M} \left( g_{n+1}(x) - g_{n+2}(x) \right) + \frac{\epsilon}{3M} \left( g_{n+2}(x) - g_{n+3}(x) \right)$$

$$+ \dots + \frac{\epsilon}{3M} \left( g_{n+p-1}(x) - g_{n+p}(x) \right) + \frac{\epsilon}{3M} \left| g_{n+p}(x) \right|$$

$$\left| \sum_{k=n+1}^{n+p} f_k(x) g_k(x) \right| \leq \frac{\epsilon}{3M} \left\{ g_{n+1}(x) - g_{n+2}(x) + g_{n+2}(x) - g_{n+3}(x) + \dots + g_{n+p-1}(x) - g_{n+p}(x) \right\} + \frac{\epsilon}{3M} \left| g_{n+p}(x) \right|$$

$$= \frac{\epsilon}{3M} \left\{ g_{n+1}(x) - g_{n+p}(x) \right\} + \frac{\epsilon}{3M} \left| g_{n+p}(x) \right|$$

$$= \frac{\epsilon}{3M} \left| g_{n+1}(x) - g_{n+p}(x) \right| + \frac{\epsilon}{3M} \left| g_{n+p}(x) \right|$$

$$\leq \frac{\epsilon}{3M} \left\{ \left| g_{n+1}(x) \right| + \left| g_{n+p}(x) \right| \right\} + \frac{\epsilon}{3M} \left| g_{n+p}(x) \right|$$

$$= \frac{\epsilon}{3M} \left\{ \left| g_{n+1}(x) \right| + 2 \left| g_{n+p}(x) \right| \right\}$$

$$\leq \frac{\epsilon}{3M} \left\{ M + 2M \right\} = \frac{\epsilon}{3M} \cdot 3M = \epsilon$$

$$\Rightarrow \left| \sum_{k=n+1}^{n+p} f_k(x) g_k(x) \right| < \epsilon \quad \forall n > N, p = 1, 2, \dots, \forall x \in S$$

$\therefore \sum f_n(x) g_n(x)$  converges uniformly on  $S$ .



Example:

Establish the uniform convergence of the series

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\ln x}{n}$$

Soln: Take  $b_n(x) = e^{\ln x}$  and

$$g_n(x) = 1/n$$

Then (i) The sequence  $\{g_n\}$  is such that

$$g_{n+1}(x) \leq g_n(x) \text{ for each } x \in \mathbb{R} \text{ and } n=1, 2, 3, \dots$$

→ ①

(ii)  $g_n \rightarrow 0$  uniformly on  $\mathbb{R}$  → ②

$$(iii) \text{ Let } F_n(x) = \sum_{k=1}^n b_k(x), x \in \mathbb{R}$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^n e^{ikx}, x \in \mathbb{R}$$

T.P.T  $\{F_n\}$  is uniformly bounded.

$$\text{Consider } \sum_{k=1}^n e^{ikx} = F_n(x)$$

By the theorem, for every real  $x \neq 2m\pi$  ( $m$  is an

integer), we have.

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^n e^{ikx} &= e^{ix} \left( \frac{1-e^{(n+1)x}}{1-e^{ix}} \right) \\ &= \frac{\sin\left(\frac{(n+1)x}{2}\right) \cdot e^{i(n+1)x/2}}{\sin\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)} \end{aligned}$$

$$|F_n(x)| = \left| \frac{\sin\left(\frac{(n+1)x}{2}\right)}{\sin\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)} \right| \cdot |e^{i(n+1)x/2}|$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{\sin(x/2)} \text{ for } x \neq 2m\pi \text{ (} m \text{ is an integer)}$$

Therefore if  $0 < \delta < \pi$  we have,

$$|F_n(x)| \leq \frac{1}{\sin(\delta/2)} \text{ if } \delta \leq x \leq 2\pi - \delta$$

Hence  $\{F_n\}$  is uniformly bounded on the interval

$$[\delta, 2\pi - \delta] \rightarrow \text{③}$$

Applying Weierstrass's test, for uniform convergence we

find that the series

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n(x) g_n(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sin x}{n} \text{ converges uniformly on}$$

$$[\delta, 2\pi - \delta] \text{ if } 0 < \delta < \pi$$

### 9.13 Mean Convergence

Def: 9.17

Let  $\{f_n\}$  be a sequence of Riemann integrable functions defined on  $[a, b]$ . Assume that  $f \in R$  on  $[a, b]$ . The sequence  $\{f_n\}$  is said to converge in the mean to  $f$  on  $[a, b]$ , and we write

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n = f \text{ on } [a, b],$$
$$\text{if } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_a^b |f_n(x) - f(x)|^2 dx = 0.$$

Note: 1 Uniform Convergence implies Mean Convergence:

A sequence  $\{f_n\}$  converges uniformly to  $f$  on  $[a, b]$ , then  $\{f_n\}$  converges also in the mean to  $f$  on  $[a, b]$ .

Suppose  $f_n \rightarrow f$  uniformly on  $[a, b]$  then for any given  $\epsilon > 0$  we can find  $N$  such that,

$$|f_n(x) - f(x)| < \epsilon \text{ for all } x \in [a, b] \text{ and } n > N$$

Hence for  $n > N$  we have,

$$\int_a^b |f_n(x) - f(x)|^2 dx < \epsilon \int_a^b 1 dx$$

$$= \epsilon^2 (b-a)$$

$$\Rightarrow \int_a^b |f_n(x) - f(x)|^2 dx < \epsilon^2 (b-a)$$

$$\Rightarrow \left| \int_a^b |f_n(x) - f(x)|^2 dx - 0 \right| < \epsilon^2 (b-a)$$

$$\Rightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_a^b |f_n(x) - f(x)|^2 dx = 0$$

This proves that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n = b$  provided that each  $b_n$  is Riemann-integrable on  $[a, b]$

Note: 2

Convergence of a sequence of some closed interval in the mean need not imply pointwise convergence at any point of the interval.

For each integer  $n \geq 0$ , subdivide the interval  $[0, 1]$  into  $2^n$  equal subintervals,

let  $I_{n+k}$  denote the subinterval whose right end point is  $\frac{k+1}{2^n}$ , where  $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, 2^n - 1$

This yields a collection  $\{I_1, I_2, \dots\}$  of subintervals of  $[0, 1]$  which the first few are

$$I_1 = [0, 1/2], I_2 = [0, 1/4]$$

$$I_3 = [1/2, 1], I_4 = [0, 1/4]$$

$$I_5 = [1/4, 1/2], I_6 = [1/2, 3/4]$$

and define  $b_n$  on  $[0, 1]$  as follows

$$b_n(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in I_n \\ 0 & \text{if } x \in [0, 1] - I_n \end{cases}$$

constructed converges in the mean to  $f \equiv 0$  on  $[0, 1]$

indeed,

$$\int_0^1 |b_n(x) - 0|^2 dx = \int_{I_n} b_n^2(x) dx \\ = \int_{I_n} dx$$

$$= \text{length of } I_n \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

At the same time the sequence  $\{b_n(x)\}$  does not converge for any  $x$  in  $[0, 1]$ ,

since for each  $x \in [0, 1]$  we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup b_n(x) = 1 \text{ and}$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \inf b_n(x) = 0.$$



Theorem: 9.18  
 Assume that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n = b$  on  $[a, b]$ ,  $f \in R$  on  $[a, b]$

define:  
 $h(x) = \int_a^x f(t) g(t) dt$       $h_n(x) = \int_a^x b_n(t) g(t) dt$

$\forall x \in [a, b]$  then  $h_n \rightarrow h$  uniformly on  $[a, b]$ .

Proof: given.

(i)  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n = b$  on  $[a, b]$

(ii)  $g \in R$  on  $[a, b]$

(iii)  $h(x) = \int_a^x f(t) g(t) dt$

$h_n(x) = \int_a^x b_n(t) g(t) dt$       $\forall x \in [a, b]$

T.P.T  $h_n \rightarrow h$  uniformly on  $[a, b]$

(i.e) T.P.T for any given  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists an integer  $N$  (depending only on  $\epsilon$ ) such that

$|h_n(x) - h(x)| < \epsilon \quad \forall n > N \text{ and } \forall x \in [a, b]$

consider,  
 $h_n(x) - h(x) = \int_a^x b_n(t) g(t) dt - \int_a^x b(t) g(t) dt$

$h_n(x) - h(x) = \int_a^x (b_n(t) - b(t)) g(t) dt$

$|h_n(x) - h(x)| \leq \int_a^x |b_n(t) - b(t)| |g(t)| dt \rightarrow \textcircled{1}$

By Cauchy - Schwarz inequality,

If  $f \in R(\alpha)$  and  $g \in R(\alpha)$ ,  $f^2, g^2 \in R(\alpha)$  on  $[a, b]$

we have,

$\left( \int_a^b f(x) g(x) d\alpha(x) \right)^2 \leq \left( \int_a^b (f(x))^2 d\alpha(x) \right) \left( \int_a^b (g(x))^2 d\alpha(x) \right)$

Since  $b_n \in R$  on  $[a, b]$  and  $f \in R$  on  $[a, b]$

$f - f_n \in R$  on  $[a, b]$

$\therefore |f - f_n|^2 \in R$  on  $[a, b]$

Such that  $g \in R$  on  $[a, b]$

Consider,

$$0 \leq \left( \int_a^x |f(t) - f_n(t)| |g(t)| dt \right)^2$$
$$\leq \left( \int_a^x |f(t) - f_n(t)|^2 dt \right) \left( \int_a^x |g(t)|^2 dt \right) \quad \text{--- (3)}$$

Given that,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n = f$$

then by the definition of Mean Convergence we have,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_a^b |f_n(t) - f(t)|^2 dt = 0$$

$\therefore$  For any given  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists  $N$  such that

$$\left| \int_a^b |f_n(t) - f(t)|^2 dt - 0 \right| < \epsilon^2 / A \quad \forall n > N.$$

$$\Rightarrow \int_a^b |f_n(t) - f(t)|^2 dt < \epsilon^2 / A \quad \text{--- (5)}$$

$$\text{where } A = 1 + \int_a^b |g(t)|^2 dt$$

$$A - 1 = \int_a^b |g(t)|^2 dt.$$

Using (5) in (3) we have,

$$0 \leq \left( \int_a^x |f(t) - f_n(t)| |g(t)| dt \right)^2$$

$$\leq \left( \int_a^x |f(t) - f_n(t)|^2 dt \right) \left( \int_a^x |g(t)|^2 dt \right)$$

$$< \frac{\epsilon^2}{A} (A - 1)$$

$$< \epsilon^2$$

$$\Rightarrow 0 \leq \left( \int_a^x |f(t) - f_n(t)| |g(t)| dt \right)^2 < \epsilon^2$$

$$\Rightarrow \int_a^x |f(t) - f_n(t)| |g(t)| dt < \epsilon \quad \text{--- (4)}$$

Sub (4) in (1) we get

$$(1) \Rightarrow |h_n(x) - h(x)| \leq \int_a^x |f_n(t) - f(t)| |g(t)| dt$$

$$\Rightarrow |h_n(x) - h(x)| < \epsilon \quad \forall x \in [a, b] \text{ and } \forall n \geq N.$$

$\Rightarrow h_n \rightarrow h$  uniformly on  $[a, b]$

Theorem: 9.19

Assume that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n = b$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g_n = g$  on  $[a, b]$

Define,  $h(x) = \int_a^x b(t)g(t) dt$ ,  $h_n(x) = \int_a^x b_n(t)g_n(t) dt$  if

$x \in [a, b]$ , then  $h_n \rightarrow h$  uniformly on  $[a, b]$

Proof: Given, (i)  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n = b$

(ii)  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g_n = g$

(iii)  $h(x) = \int_a^x b(t)g(t) dt$  and

$h_n(x) = \int_a^x b_n(t)g_n(t) dt$  if  $x \in [a, b]$

TP:  $h_n \rightarrow h$  uniformly on  $[a, b]$ .

Let  $x \in [a, b]$

Consider,

$$\begin{aligned} h_n(x) - h(x) &= \int_a^x b_n(t)g_n(t) dt - \int_a^x b(t)g(t) dt \\ &= \int_a^x [(b_n g_n)(t) - (b g)(t)] dt \\ &= \int_a^x (b_n g_n - b g)(t) dt \end{aligned}$$

Sub and Add the three product  $b \cdot g$ ,  $b_n g$  and  $b g_n$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \int_a^x [b_n g_n + b g - b g + b_n g - b_n g + b g_n - b g_n - b g] dt \\ &= \int_a^x (b - b_n)(g - g_n) dt + \int_a^x (b_n g - b g) dt + \int_a^x (b g_n - b g) dt \end{aligned}$$

(Recall the Cauchy Schwarz inequality

then replace  $g$  by  $g - g_n$ )



Using Cauchy-Schwarz inequality we get,

$$\left( \int_a^x |(b-b_n)(g-g_n)| dt \right)^2 \leq \left( \int_a^x (b-b_n) dt \right)^2 \left( \int_a^x (g-g_n) dt \right)^2$$

$$\leq \int_a^x |b-b_n|^2 dt \cdot \int_a^x |g-g_n|^2 dt \rightarrow (2)$$

Hypothesis:  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n = b$

$$\int |b_n - b|^2 dt = 0$$

$$(or) \int |b - b_n|^2 dt = 0$$

Similarly  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g_n = g$  on  $[a, b]$  implies

$$\int |g - g_n|^2 dt = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \int_a^x |(b-b_n)(g-g_n)| dt \leq 0 \rightarrow (3)$$

then  $(3) \Rightarrow |h_n(x) - h(x)| = 0 + \int_a^x |b_n g - b g| dt + \int_a^x |g_n b - g b| dt$  (4)

Consider,  $\int_a^x |b_n g - b g| dt = \int_a^x |b_n - b| |g| dt$

$$\leq \int_a^x |(b_n - b)(t)| |g(t)| dt = 0 \rightarrow (5)$$

Hypothesis:  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g_n = g$  on  $[a, b]$

$$\therefore \int_a^x |(g_n - g)(t)| |b(t)| dt = 0 \rightarrow (6)$$

Sub (5) & (6) in (4)

$$|h_n(x) - h(x)| = 0 < \epsilon, \forall x \in [a, b]$$

$\therefore \{h_n\} \rightarrow h$  uniformly on  $[a, b]$

Hence the theorem.